

HEIGHTS IN THE CASE OF NON-DISJOINT SUPPORTS ; COMPUTATIONS IN THE ARCHIMEDEAN CASE

Understanding [GZ86, II.§5] with the help of [Gro86] and [Con04].

1. HEIGHTS IN THE CASE OF NON-DISJOINT SUPPORTS

Fix H a number field, and X be a curve defined over H . Recall that we have a global height pairing \langle, \rangle on $\text{Div}^0(X)(H)$, and also for each place v of H a local height pairing \langle, \rangle_v on the set of pairs of elements of $\text{Div}^0(X_v)(H_v)$ with disjoint supports, satisfying a product relation :

$$\langle a, b \rangle = \sum_v \langle a, b \rangle_v$$

if a, b are in $\text{Div}^0(X)(H)$ with disjoint supports.

We want to extend the local heights pairings to at least some cases with non-disjoint supports, in a way that the product formula still holds. We will see how we can do this when $|a| \cap |b|$ is a union of points of $X(H)$, but the extension will not be canonical.

1.1. Definition of generalized local height.

1.1.1. *Generalized evaluation.* Fix a place v , and $x \in X_v(H_v)$. If v is complex, we set $|a|_v = |a|^2$ for $a \in \mathbb{C}$.

Definition 1.1.1. A uniformizer t_x at x is a function defined on a neighborhood of x such that $t_x \in \mathfrak{m}_{X_v, x} \setminus \mathfrak{m}_{X_v, x}^2$. That is, a rational function on X_v that has a simple zero at x .

Definition 1.1.2. Let f be a non-zero element of $H_v(X_v)$, and let t_x be a uniformizer at x . We define the generalized evaluation of f at x along t_x by :

$$f_{t_x}[x] = \left(\frac{f}{t_x^{v_x(f)}} \right) (x) \in H_v^\times$$

Note that $f_{t_x}[x] = f(x)$ if $v_x(f) = 0$, and that generalized evaluation is multiplicative.

If t_x is a uniformizer at x , let ω_x be its image in the cotangent space at x , that is, $\mathfrak{m}_{X_v, x} / \mathfrak{m}_{X_v, x}^2$ (essentially this is $t'_x(x)$). We see easily that $f_{t_x}[x]$ depends only on ω_x , we denote it by $f_{\omega_x}[x]$.

1.1.2. *Generalized local height.* Let a and b be in $\text{Div}^0(X_v)(H_v)$. Assume that $|a| \cap |b| = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ with each x_i in $X_v(H_v)$. Let $\underline{\omega} = \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$ be a set of cotangent vectors at each x_i .

Definition 1.1.3. Let $f \in H_v(X_v)^\times$ such that $a + \text{div}(f)$ and b have disjoint supports. We define :

$$\langle a, b \rangle_{v, \underline{\omega}} = \langle a + \text{div}(f), b \rangle_v - \log |f_{\underline{\omega}}[b]|_v$$

where $f_{\underline{\omega}}[b]$ is defined as follows : write $b = \sum n_i [x_i] + b'$ so that the support of b' does not contains any x_i (note that b' is in $\text{Div}^0(X_v)(H_v)$, and that b' and $\text{div}(f)$ have disjoint support). Then

$$f_{\underline{\omega}}[b] = \left(\prod_i f_{\omega_i}[x_i]^{n_i} \right) f(b')$$

Proposition 1.1.4. The value of $\langle a, b \rangle_{v, \underline{\omega}}$ does not depend on the choice of f satisfying the conditions. In particular if a and b have disjoint supports it is equal to $\langle a, b \rangle$.

Démonstration. It is enough to show this when a and b already have disjoint supports, as the generalized evaluation is multiplicative. Then $\text{div}(f)$ and b also have disjoint supports. Then the result follows from general properties of local heights : $\langle \text{div}(f), b \rangle = \log |f(b)|_v$. \square

Proposition 1.1.5. Assume that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are elements of H_v with $|\alpha_i|_v = 1$. Let $\omega'_i = \alpha_i \omega_i$. Then $\langle a, b \rangle_{v, \underline{\omega}'} = \langle a, b \rangle_{v, \underline{\omega}}$.

1.2. Alternative definition. Let a and b be in $\text{Div}^0(X_v)(H_v)$. Assume that $|a| \cap |b| = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ with each x_i in $X_v(H_v)$. Let $\underline{\omega} = \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$ be a set of cotangent vectors at each x_i , and t_1, \dots, t_n uniformizers corresponding to these cotangent vectors.

For each i , denote by y_i an element of $X_v(H_v)$ which is disjoint from the x_j and the other y_j and the support of b' . Write $a_{\underline{y}}$ for the divisor that we obtain by replacing each x_i by y_i .

Proposition 1.2.1.

$$\langle a, b \rangle_{v, \underline{\omega}} = \lim_{\underline{y} \rightarrow \underline{x}} \left(\langle a_{\underline{y}}, b \rangle_v - \sum_i \text{ord}_{x_i}(a) \text{ord}_{x_i}(b) \log |t_{x_i}(y_j)|_v \right)$$

and the limit actually exists.

Démonstration. Assume for simplicity of notation that $n = 1$ (which is what we use anyway in the end), and denote by t a uniformizer at x .

Write $b = n[x] + b'$, $a = m[x] + a'$ so that $n = \text{ord}_x(b)$, and $m = \text{ord}_x(a)$. Let f be as above, so that $\text{ord}_x(f) = -m$ and f is of the form $t^{-m}g$ with $\text{div}(g)$ prime to a and b .

Then we need to show that the limit of the following is zero as $y \rightarrow x$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS} - \text{RHS} &= \langle a + \text{div}(f), b \rangle_v - \log |f_t[b]|_v - \langle a_y, b \rangle_v + mn \log |t(y)|_v \\ &= \langle a + \text{div}(f) - a_y, b \rangle_v - \log |f(b')|_v - n \log |f_t[x]|_v + mn \log |t(y)|_v \\ &= \langle (m[x] - [y] - \text{div}(t)) + \text{div}(g), b \rangle_v - \log |f(b')|_v - n \log |g(x)|_v + mn \log |t(y)|_v \\ &= \langle -m \text{div}(t)_y + \text{div}(g), b \rangle_v - \log |f(b')|_v - n \log |g(x)|_v + mn \log |t(y)|_v \\ &= -m (\langle \text{div}(t)_y, b \rangle_v - \langle \text{div}(t), b_y \rangle_v) \end{aligned}$$

Hence it is enough to show that for any pair of divisors of degree 0, with intersection of supports supported in $\{x\}$, $\langle a_y, b \rangle_v - \langle a, b_y \rangle_v$ goes to zero as $y \rightarrow x$. Fix some w far away, and write a and b as sums of $[u] - [w]$, then it follows from continuity of the local height and symmetry. \square

1.3. Product formula.

Theorem 1.3.1. Let a and b be in $\text{Div}^0(X)(H)$. Assume that $|a| \cap |b| = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ with each x_i in $X(H)$. Let $\underline{\omega} = \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$ be a set of cotangent vectors at each x_i . For each place v , $\underline{\omega}$ defines a set of cotangent vectors at the images of each x_i in $X_v(H_v)$.

Let $f \in H(X)^\times$ such that $a + \text{div}(f)$ and b have disjoint supports.

Then

$$\langle a, b \rangle = \sum_v \langle a, b \rangle_{v, \underline{\omega}}$$

and the latter sum is independent of the choice of f .

Démonstration. We compute that

$$\sum_v \langle a, b \rangle_{v, \underline{\omega}} = \sum_v (\langle a + \text{div}(f), b \rangle_v - \log |f_{\underline{\omega}}[b']|_v) = \langle a + \text{div}(f), b \rangle = \langle a, b \rangle$$

as

$$\sum_v \log |f_{\underline{\omega}}[b']|_v = 0$$

by the product formula. \square

Proposition 1.3.2. Assume that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are elements of H that are roots of unity. Let $\omega'_i = \alpha_i \omega_i$. Then $\langle a, b \rangle_{v, \underline{\omega}} = \langle a, b \rangle_{v, \underline{\omega}'}$ for all places v .

Démonstration. This is simply Proposition 1.1.5, as $|\alpha_i|_v = 1$ for all places v . \square

2. HEIGHT COMPUTATIONS

2.1. What we want to compute. Let $x \in Y_0(N)(H)$ be a Heegner point. Let $c = (x) - (\infty)$ and $d = (x) - (0)$, so that c and d are in $\text{Div}^0(X_0(N))(H)$. Let $m \geq 1$ be prime to N . We want to compute $\langle c, T_m \sigma(d) \rangle$ for $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(H/K)$. For this we decompose $\langle c, T_m \sigma(d) \rangle$ in a sum of local heights.

Let $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ corresponding to σ .

Proposition 2.1.1. We have $|c| \cap |T_m \sigma(d)| \subset \{x\}$, and $\text{ord}_x(c) = 1$ and $\text{ord}_x(T_m \sigma(d)) = r_{\mathcal{A}}(m)$ where $r_{\mathcal{A}}(m)$ is the number of ideals of \mathcal{O}_K in the class \mathcal{A} that have norm m .

Démonstration. Let $x = ([\mathfrak{a}], \mathfrak{n})$. Let $\sigma = \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ and let \mathfrak{b} in the class of \mathcal{A}^{-1} , so that $\sigma(x) = ([\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}], \mathfrak{n})$.

Recall that $T_m y = \sum_C y_C$ the sum is over all subgroups of E_y of order m and with trivial intersection to $\ker \phi_y$, and then y_C is $(E_y/C, E'_y/\phi_y(C))$.

An isogeny of order m $x \rightarrow \sigma(x)$ is the same as finding an inclusion $\alpha^{-1}\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}$ of index m for some $\alpha \in K$, that is, $(\alpha\mathfrak{a})^{-1} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ an integral ideal of norm m \square

In particular, the divisors do not necessarily have disjoint supports, so we will use the generalization of the local heights. Let t be a uniformizer at x , then

$$\langle c, T_m \sigma(d) \rangle_{v,t} = \lim_{y \rightarrow x} (\langle c_y, T_m \sigma(d) \rangle_v - r_{\mathcal{A}}(m) \log |t(y)|_v)$$

2.2. Choice of uniformizer. We want to choose t the uniformizer at x .

One way to do this is as follows : Choose a lift \hat{x} of x in \mathcal{H} . Choose a 1-form ω over \mathcal{H} , that is of the form $f(z)dz$ where $f(\hat{x}) \neq 0$. We will choose ω to be $2i\pi\eta^4(z)dz$, where $\eta(z) = q^{1/24} \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n)$ (so that $\eta^{12} = \Delta$). It is defined only up to some 6-th root of unity but this won't change the local heights computations.

Let t be any uniformizer at x . Then it lifts locally around \hat{x} to \hat{t} .

Assuming that x is not an elliptic point then we can normalize t (by multiplying by a non-zero constant) so that $\hat{t}'(\hat{x}) = f(\hat{x})$, we take this to be our uniformizer.

Assume now that $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow X$ ramifies at \hat{x} with multiplicity $e = 2, 3$. Then we normalize so that we have for z close to \hat{x} : $\hat{t}(z) = f(\hat{x})(z - \hat{x})^e(1 + o(1))$.

An important point for us is :

Proposition 2.2.1. *If x is a Heegner point then $u = e$.*

That is, the ramification is a property of the field giving complex multiplication.

A consequence of this choice is :

Proposition 2.2.2. *Let \hat{x} in \mathcal{H} lifting x a Heegner point. Then*

$$\log |t(y)|_v - u \log |(\hat{y} - \hat{x}) \cdot 2i\pi\eta^4(\hat{x})|_v \rightarrow 0$$

as $\hat{y} \rightarrow \hat{x}$ (and then $y \rightarrow x$).

Remark 2.2.3. Actually we need the uniformizer to be defined over H , in order to be able to use it for all places. It is not clear that the construction accomplishes this. Indeed, $\eta^{24} = \Delta$ is defined over $X_0(1)(\mathbb{Q})$, but $\omega = \eta^4 dq/q$ is a priori not defined on X but only on a cover of order 6 of it.

2.3. Archimedean computations. Recall the following formula for the computation of the local archimedean height :

Proposition 2.3.1 (Proposition 2.23). *Let $(m, N) = 1$, $x, x' \in Y$ with $x \notin T_m x'$. Then :*

$$\langle (x) - (\infty), T_m((x') - (0)) \rangle = A + \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} (B/(s-1) + G_{N,s}^m(\hat{x}, \hat{x}') + CE_N(w_N \hat{x}, s) + Dm^s \sigma_{1-2s}(m) E_N(\hat{x}', s))$$

where A, B, C, D are some constants, and

$$G_{N,s}^m(z, z') = \sum_{\gamma \in R_n / \{\pm 1\}, \det \gamma = m} g_s(z, \gamma z')$$

where g_s is a function of $s > 0$ defined out of the diagonal.

We want to extend the definition of $G_{N,s}^m$ to all z, z' . Let

$$Z_s(z, z') = \lim_{w \rightarrow z} \left(\sum_{\gamma \in R_n / \{\pm 1\}, \det \gamma = m, \gamma z' = z} g_s(z, w) - \log |2i\pi(z-w)\eta^4(z)|^2 \right)$$

and $G_s^0(z, z') = \sum_{\gamma \in R_n / \{\pm 1\}, \det \gamma = m, \gamma z' \neq z} g_s(z, \gamma z')$ and set

$$\tilde{G}_s(z, z') = G_s^0(z, z') + Z_s(z, z')$$

which coincides with the definition of G_s when $x \notin T_m x'$: then $G_s = G_s^0$ and $Z_s = 0$. Moreover G_s^0 is clearly defined everywhere. Let us see why Z_s is well-defined. Note that

$$Z_s(z, z') = \#\{\gamma, \gamma z' = z\} \lim_{w \rightarrow z} (g_s(z, w) - \log |2i\pi(z-w)\eta^4(z)|^2)$$

As $w \rightarrow z$, $g_s(z, w) = \log(|z-w|^2 / (2 \operatorname{im}(z) \operatorname{im}(w))) + O(1)$ so $g_s(z, w) - \log |z-w|^2 - \log(|2i\pi\eta^4(z)|^2)$ has a finite limit as $w \rightarrow z$.

Write $g_s(z)$ for $\lim_{w \rightarrow z} (g_s(z, w) - \log |2i\pi(z-w)\eta^4(z)|^2)$, so that $Z_s(z, z') = \#\{\gamma, \gamma z' = z\} g_s(z)$.

Let $z' = \hat{x}'$ be fixed, where $x \in Y(H)$ and $x' = \sigma(x)$, and set :

$$f(w, s) = A + B/(s-1) + G_s^0(w, z') + CE_N(w_N w, s) + Dm^s \sigma_{1-2s}(m) E_N(z', s)$$

Then ($z = \hat{x}$) :

$$\langle c, T_m \sigma(d) \rangle_{v,t} = \lim_{w \rightarrow z} \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} (f(w, s) - r_{\mathcal{A}}(m) \log |t(w)|^2)$$

Let us admit that we can exchange the limits. Then the local height is :

$$A + \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \left(B/(s-1) + CE_N(w_N z, s) + Dm^s \sigma_{1-2s}(m) E_N(z', s) + \lim_{w \rightarrow z} (G_s(w, z') - r_{\mathcal{A}}(m) \log |t(w)|^2) \right)$$

In the limit we can replace $\log |t(w)|^2$ by $\log |2i\pi(z-w)\eta^4(z)|^2$, by Proposition 2.2.2.

Note also that $\#\{\gamma, \gamma z' = z\}$ appearing in Z_s is $ur_{\mathcal{A}}(m)$ in the Heegner point case. Then the height is

$$A + \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \left(B/(s-1) + CE_N(w_N z, s) + Dm^s \sigma_{1-2s}(m) E_N(z', s) + \tilde{G}_s(z, z') \right)$$

In particular, this is identical to the formula of Proposition 2.23 if we replace G_s by \tilde{G}_s . We redecouple this as :

$$A + \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \left(\left(B/(s-1) + CE_N(w_N z, s) + Dm^s \sigma_{1-2s}(m) E_N(z', s) + \tilde{G}_s^0(z, z') \right) + ur_{\mathcal{A}}(m) g_s(z) \right).$$

2.4. Evaluation at Heegner points. We now want to find an expression for the thing appearing in the limit as $s \rightarrow 1$, when we sum over all places. We observe that : the first part (everything except the part with $g_s(z)$) can be treated exactly as in the case where $r_{\mathcal{A}}(m) = 0$, and we recover for this part the formula given by Olivier (proposition (4.2) of [GZ86]).

Now we want to understand the contribution of the $g_s(z)$ for the various places. Recall that $g_s(z, w) = -2Q_{s-1}(1 + |z-w|^2/(2\text{im}(z)\text{im}(w)))$ and $g_s(z) = \lim_{w \rightarrow z} (g_s(z, w) - 2\log |w-z|) - 2\log |2i\pi\eta^4(z)|$.

Using the asymptotic expansion of $Q_{s-1}(t)$ as $t \rightarrow 1$ we get

$$g_s(z) = -\log |4\pi \text{im}(z)\eta^4(z)|^2 + 2((\Gamma'/\Gamma)(s) - (\Gamma'/\Gamma)(1)).$$

On the other hand, Kronecker's first limit formula is :

$$2^s \zeta(2s) E(z, s) = \pi/(s-1) + 2\pi(\gamma - \log(2)) + \log(|\sqrt{\text{im}(z)}\eta^2(z)|) + O(s-1)$$

and $\Gamma'(1) = -\gamma$ and $\Gamma(1) = 1$.

So we get

$$g_s(z) = -2\log(2\pi) + 2((\Gamma'/\Gamma)(s) + (\Gamma'/\Gamma)(1)) + (2/\pi) \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 1} (2^\sigma \zeta(2\sigma) E(z, \sigma) - \pi/(\sigma-1))$$

In order to compute the contribution at all places we want $\sum_{\mathcal{B} \in \text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K)} g_s(\tau_{\mathcal{B}})$. We have the identity :

$$2^\sigma \zeta(2\sigma) E(\tau_{\mathcal{B}}, \sigma) = u|D|^{1/2} \zeta_K(\mathcal{B}, \sigma)$$

so in the sum the contribution coming from the $\sum_{\mathcal{B}} 2^\sigma \zeta(2\sigma) E(\tau_{\mathcal{B}}, \sigma)$ is $u|D|^{1/2} \zeta_K(\sigma)$.

In order to understand the part with $\zeta_K(\sigma)$ we use $\zeta_K(\sigma) = \zeta(\sigma) L(\epsilon, \sigma)$ and $\zeta(\sigma) = 1/(\sigma-1) + \gamma + O(\sigma-1)$ and $L(\epsilon, \sigma) = L(\epsilon, 1) + (\sigma-1)L'(\epsilon, 1) + O(\sigma-1)^2$ and $L(\epsilon, 1) = \pi h/u|D|^{1/2}$.

Finally we get that the additional contribution is :

$$2hr_{\mathcal{A}}(m) \left((\Gamma'/\Gamma)(s) - \log(2\pi) + (L'/L)(\epsilon, 1) + \log |D|^{1/2} \right)$$

RÉFÉRENCES

- [Con04] Brian Conrad. Gross-zagier revisited (with an appendix by W. R. Mann). In *Heegner points and Rankin L-series. Papers from the workshop on special values of Rankin L-series, Berkeley, CA, USA, December 2001*, pages 67–163. Cambridge : Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [Gro86] Benedict H. Gross. Local heights on curves. Arithmetic geometry, Pap. Conf., Storrs/Conn. 1984, 327-339 (1986)., 1986.
- [GZ86] Benedict H. Gross and Don B. Zagier. Heegner points and derivatives of L-series. *Invent. Math.*, 84 :225–320, 1986.